

Hearing: Martin Haditsch und Heiko Schöning (A16)
Subject: Corona in Austria
31.07.2020

HS = Heiko Schöning MH = Martin Haditsch

HS: A Heartily welcome to another session in the ACU, the extra parliamentary Corona investigation committee. At this point I want to thank you once more very much for your support, because this has only been possible with your support. For the first ACU conference we have had more than 3 millions of clicks on YouTube for example, naturally even more on other platforms. Some things have been censored, nevertheless we will continue and thank you for your previous assistance, which will be naturally necessary furthermore. Just now also, when we can bring together such an international circle, it is a special pleasure to me, to can greet today too my colleague from the speakers' cercle of the ACU professor, doctor, doctor Martin Haditsch from Austria, and we have especially interesting news from Austria. We will illuminate the situation there particularly, my colleague will do that. I will pass over the word to Martin Haditsch and I herald the session.

MH. Thank you very much, Mister colleague Schöning, many thanks that you invited me once more to the second extra parliamentary Corona investigation committee respectively to the press conference, and this within the framework of the big demonstration here in Berlin on 1st August 2020. This press statement will contain two big chapters from my side: the first one is the question of the status in Austria. If you go to the internet and look on 31 July 2020 at worldometer or the John-Hopkins-University, we have actually 21,330 cases in Austria and 718 deaths. This would mean a so-called infection viability rate, which means the number of dead persons caused by the infection, of 3.5 %. It is easy to understand that this figure cannot be right, because with this the viability rate in Austria would be - with a nearly similar number of proved infections in other countries – worse than in the Czech Republic, Ivory Coast, Ethiopia, El Salvador, Australia, Cameroun, Costa Rica, Venezuela, Kenia, Nepal, Morocco, Uzbekistan and Moldova, also the worst of all these countries. The question is posed what does Austria do wrong? Above all because Austria has been praised internationally for its measures. If you look at this foil, then you see that Austria has no excess mortality in spite of everything in the year 2020, and this in contrast to for example the years 2017 or 2018, this comes from Euromomo and can be read there. It is also important to mention that the draconian measures like the shutdown and the mask obligation have only been introduced after the zenith – that is we could realize already falling figures at that time. Therefore this cannot be the reason for this decline. All these measures have been decided unanimously by all parties, including the voices of the opposition. From this for me emerges clearly that the party system in Austria eliminates itself by doing this. The state near media as television, radio, the state near print media don't allow a discourse. Critical opinions are principally not heard there, the mission of a neutral reporting, for which the Austrian broadcast, the ORF, imposes charges has not been and is not fulfilled, this is equal to a press censorship and therefore the main argument for the charges to the ORF is no longer valid. The Federal Chancellery engages plenty of dozens of people with the mission to observe the loyalty of divers media. How is the situation in Austria? An important aspect is that the Austrian constitutional court has valued two decrees of the Federal government as unconstitutional, namely the general interdiction to enter public places in March and April, and on the other hand the regulation

for the re-opening of the shops after the lockdown in dependence of the size, the area of the particular shops. In parts of Austria the mask obligation is launched once again. If we though assume a corresponding infectiosity of the pathogen, then this measure CANNOT, I repeat CANNOT be effective, in consideration of the quality of the used masks, the usage, who, when, how and where uses these masks and the handling including the re-use in practice. It cannot work. They have requested and still request the „social distancing“ without any scientific evidence. The meaning as general measure has been disproved repeatedly for example by investigations in families with one infected family member. But concurrently the needs of persons who really need protection i. e. people in rest homes and nursing homes have been ignored during a long time, which has led to a greater mortality in this group in Austria and for example also in Sweden. But the difference is that the responsables in Sweden have apologized for that.

In Austria many of the introduced measures are based on the so called Corona laws. There is a hierarchy in laws. The Corona laws are simple laws and are therefore not above the constitutional laws. The abolishment of the constitutional laws by the Corona laws is hence unconstitutional and this is comprehensible and clear also for me as non lawyer. These grievances shall be clarified as well as possible under exhaustion of the legal possibilities. Responsibles shall be called to account too. It is interesting to watch that in the recent past our chancellor Kurz surprisingly often leaves the field for the health minister Anschober. We must also clarify legally, in what way the facts of assault have been fulfilled so to speak. In Germany there is a law – and we have to prove this also for Austria – this is following the criminal code, § 40, there is written concerning assault, that it is illegal, that only the try is already criminal, but there is also written that in especially hard cases the penalty is imprisonment of 6 months to five years, specially hard is among others, if someone abuses his competences or his position as office-bearer.

Furthermore in Austria there is a law of official duties towards superiors of state officials and this includes partly also executive bodies. And they are obliged by law to convince themselves of the law conformity of orders, which means not only the pure obedience counts, because something has been ordered. We need actually much energy and power to begin projects. In Austria we tried to establish a trustworthy contact point for Austrian citizens, and so we founded beside many other organizations the „Plattform RESPEKT“. You can call this under www.respekt.plus. To represent a pure community of values to the outside, everyone who works in the name of „Respekt“ pledges himself to the compliance of a determined, that is also not negotiable ethical code. We are working following the slogan „We for all, many with us.“ This ethical code can be... can be read and is listed on the homepage, and due to this one can measure the acts of the platform, and it is clear that the „Plattform RESPEKT“ is not left, not right, not above, not below. The letters of Respekt stand for central values, namely the R for respect, the E for honesty, S for self-determination, P for pacifism, E for ethics, K for culture and T for transparency, and this is based on certain interpretations and attitudes. In responsibility for the situation in Austria the „Plattform RESPEKT“ has written an open letter to four representatives of the Austrian Federal government, namely the Federal chancellor Kurz, the vice chancellor Master Kogler, Mister the Interior minister Master Nehammer and the minister for health Anschober. This letter can be read on the homepage too. And we have also started a petition in the internet which can be called up on the homepage. There you can also read the letter and we register meanwhile already about 6,000 supporters too.

Moreover we have planned also in Austria – and this follows the model of the ACU in Germany – an extra parliamentary investigation committee, and we hope here also for a good cooperation and an exchange of support. We are working corresponding to the slogan which has been formulated by Benjamin Franklin:“Who gives up freedom to get safety, will finally lose both.“ We are also working internationally and here the organization „Doctors for Enlightenment“ in Germany has a central role and I want to profit from the

opportunity to congratulate the colleague Schöning to his numerous activities and to wish him much force for the future, but the motto of the ACU2020 is „We have the power“. If we look back now, regarding... and here I will leave Austria to the consideration of yesterday, today, tomorrow. How was this with the first wave? I think as like with many other infection illnesses too it naturally comes to a significant increase of cases at the beginning, if we look at the curve exactly – and you see here for example the curve of Cologne, then it was no long time for the exponential increase, it was only for a few days perhaps with low infection numbers and also then it was a linear respectively sublinear growth. The results of the tests were not for a long time and are partly still not put in relation to the infection rates and the other way round, which means that what reaches the press are the number of infected persons respectively of the positive tested, and you see here a statistics, this can also be read in [statista.com](https://www.statista.com), and you see that here we can observe a peak which looks very dramatic. But if we confront to this the positive tests, it becomes a discreet wave. So they consciously fuelled the emotion with the numbers of cases, because they did not mention the based tests so to speak. Which is important too, exactly in the past – and here Germany was really often in an unfavourable situation, namely that outbreaks have been stated. Outbreaks don't indicate a trend and they are not representative for regions – I mention here only Gütersloh for example, you see the curve – not for the Federal Lands or even for the whole country. If you look at this, this curve which has been registered at Gütersloh and in comparison with this the positive cases in Germany, then you see that this is not a trend, but this outbreak at Gütersloh shows a short spike in the course of the positive cases here in Germany, so it is not a trend. If we will dare a cautious outlook, then testing more naturally means inevitably that they will find more. But this is not a trend, it is important to claim the relation number. The number of the tests and this is also valid for the media, which report in Germany. There will consistently be outbreaks of this virus, it is important in this context to identify the focus, to put specific measures, but not to generalize them. For the media it is important to show that there is a difference between a focus and also the regional population. You have perhaps heard, the thing at Gütersloh with the slaughter enterprise, within this scope there were approximately 2,000 positive tests, in the general population of the same region at a rough estimate about 20. I think this is important to be kept. The number of cases will increase almost certainly in the cold season. It is important, that we make an effort, because, therefore... to look, if a trend arises from this, it is even possible that it increases, but perhaps levels out on a slightly higher level. It is important in this situation that there are not put exaggerated measures, but that these are reasonable, perhaps only regional and appropriate measures. We must bring to our conscience, if we have in total only little cases, that also little supplementary cases can easily be interpreted that this is an extensive increase. Here we must be cautious. The point is a holistic approach and always, if measures are put, it must be considered which collateral damages are then put with this too. This has been willfull neglected in the past. And we must naturally also know that there are risk groups, we must formulate certain care, specific offers of care for these groups. For these an early and high graded diagnostics is necessary, the surveillance and the offer of an adequate therapy. But this must not be dominant, there must not be a compulsion.

If we shortly want to come to the subject of immunity, then we must simply – I try to make this as short and simple as possible – we must realize that only the antibodies in the blood are measurable in this context. But the usually put testing systems don't allow necessarily a statement on the fact, if the regarding person is protected, that is if these are neutralizing antibodies or if with this the transmission of the pathogen can be avoided. The whole immunity is always based on several pillars, and this includes for example also the specific cells, the so-called killer cells, this includes also antibodies on the mucosa etc. But these parts of the body's defences are not measurable with the routine methods. From this it

follows that persons with verifiable antibodies are not always necessarily protected and on the other side that those who have no verifiable antibodies are not necessarily unprotected, and this is important to know. We have certain knowledges and we can formulate certain hypotheses. We know that persons who have undergone a hard illness have often a higher concentration of antibodies and these continue longer. We know that children are confronted for years again and again with Corona viruses of different sort, this is what is often associated with the cold in the cold season. Eventually there is a so-called cross protection, that is the antibodies against the old Corona viruses are effective partly also against the new Sars-CoV V2. And this would explain why children for example, and meanwhile this is proven, are not so-called catapults of viruses and why they become far more rarely ill. A longer lasting proof, a positive proof of Sars-CoV V2 by PCR means not necessarily a continuing infectiosity. Rather it seems to be that one can prove over a longer time also parts of the virus and in fact of a virus which is not longer augmentable in the cells of the pharynx. One item which occurs also always in relation to the immunity is the question for a vaccination. We must say generally that the authorization of a vaccination in a so-called fast track, thus a quick permit method, is always fraught with risk because with this the time for observation necessarily connected to vaccinations must be reduced. This doesn't work otherwise. With this we must state that seldom or only later arising secondary effects cannot be included. Finally one must clarify for a vaccination besides the compatibility also – and this is added too – the question if for example measurable antibodies finally represent also a protection, if antibodies which are associated or induced to the vaccination can block the transmission, how many dose rates in which intervals must be given to so-to-say continue the immunity, to pursue it and above all, if the vaccination is effective also to those people who need it the most urgently. From experience it is even so that elder people or people with basic illnesses are reacting particularly badly to the vaccinations. And there are also the questions, we have always only a limited capacity of production. Who shall have access to the vaccinations, which countries, which persons and which age groups, which professions etc. Just as one who is specially conscious of the value of vaccinations, I have the great sorrow that there will be created a regulation which will not be based on professional reasons and that therefore the problems with this vaccination will be generalized and used on the whole sector of vaccination, and that therefore the vaccination will suffer a great damage in total. This is particularly valid then, if – what we hear – whether a direct or an indirect vaccination constraint is connected with the new vaccination of Sars-CoV V2. Just for this vaccination special conditions of allowance should be valid.

I come to my final remark. Let us value ultimately the Sars-CoV V2 respectively the Covid-19 situation following rational criteria. Let us care for a clean status of data and deal adequately. Let us have finally space for a factual discussion and this also in the public, let us take the irrational fear away from men, let us finish the making of panic, but let us finish also this constant new evaluation of reached goals or goals to be reached and the hysteric reactions to events which are within the natural fluctuation scope of an infection illness. We will not accomplish in a short term to eliminate the virus, which means we as mankind will have to learn to live with this virus. But let us stop to give the Sars-CoV V2 virus respectively the Covid-19 illness the role of an all others overtrumping killer virus. Let us stop to react as if there was only the Sars-CoV V2 pathogen of infection and only Covid-19 the only reason for death. Let us turn against a policy of an assignment of guilt, of bad conscience, of defamation and of denunciation and let us return finally back confidence in the future, hope, security of planning and joy of life to men. I thank you very much.

HS: Colleague Martin Haditsch, I thank you also very heartily for this clear and also scientifically proved summary of the situation. We now are at the end of July, tomorrow on 1st August a big demonstration will take place at Berlin, in which we will also participate, to

which we have also assembled an international group of doctors under the roof of the ACU2020.org. And we also enjoy much that also other sections have been built as for example in Spain. I was invited to a press conference at Madrid and within a few days this press conference has had about 5 million calls on YouTube and other platforms worldwide. 1.5 million of them have been deleted, but as already mentioned, this cannot prevent us from continuing to spread the message. And the message is that we can positively look in the future, for we have no killer virus, all medical facts show this, and colleague Haditsch has told this just once more very clearly and proven this very clearly with scientific data. So, what do we have here? We have apparently a staging, and we must particularly investigate who profits from this? Cui bono? And we will take this more and more into the focus, because we are very astonished respectively it is alarming, if certain persons know already very exactly that there shall be a second wave. A second wave of a Corona virus, a second wave of illness, but we have not even seen an extraordinary first wave. This second wave can absolutely not be kept from the point of view of science. However they count on it already now, and we must ask ourselves for autumn, should a new stronger lockdown take place, which will stall the economy absolutely now, so a planned economy and finance crash, which will damage men additionally, also their health. We must escape this now, and we have a great chance. Therefore please spread the contents of ACU2020.org, also internationally, we have established a web page there too for international items and have translated the contents in eight languages. And there are coming always more to it. Therefore I am also looking forward to the next international statements.